



# MEASURES TAKEN TO AVOID THE NON PERCEPTION OF ALARMS FROM EPD

ISOE European Symposium

Elisa CANAL, Javaraly FAZILEABASSE, Nicolas VALENDRU  
Patrice ROMANE

# SUMMARY

- 1. HISTORY**
- 2. BENCHMARKING**
- 3. TECHNOLOGY CHOSEN**
- 4. EDF REQUIREMENTS**
- 5. TESTS OF IMS® TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**
- 6. FINAL GOAL**

# HISTORY

- **Experience feedback:**

- Several events related to non perception of alarms (5 in 2011, 4 in 2012, statement processing for 2013)
  - Causes identified : noisy or flashy environment, use of Personal Protective Equipment (mainly Respiratory Protective Devices), working positions without the EPD in sight,...

- **Action Plan associated :**

- Upgrade the sound level of EPD Saphydose Gamma i® (Saphymo®) from 80 dBA to 85 dBA
  - Initialise a proper treatment plan to avoid these events again

# BENCHMARKING

## ▪ Normative base (CEI 61526) :

### 6.10.4 Alarm output

#### a) Location

The audible and/or visual alarm shall be located so that when the dosimeter is worn on the body, the audible alarm can be heard and the visual alarm seen by the wearer.

#### b) Audible alarm

The frequency shall be within the 1 kHz to 5 kHz range. Where an intermittent alarm is provided, the signal interval shall not exceed 2 s. The A-weighted sound level (impulse level for intermittent alarm) shall exceed 80 dBA and not exceed 100 dBA at 30 cm from the alarm source. A visual signal or earphones capability should be available for high noise environments.

## ▪ Benchmark with other international nuclear developers (through ISOE network, among others), and partly solved adding type of alarm « vibror »

## ▪ Review of report's system already existing :

- They are not convenient for industrial environment : wired connection and too voluminous for chest pocket

# TECHNOLOGY CHOSEN

- **The choice of EDF is in favour of Bluetooth technology :**

- High battery life,
  - Weak emission distance (about 2 meters),
  - No electromagnetic disturbance



**This technology has been validated by tests on Flamanville NPP in 2012 (feasibility study leaded with EPD Sgi® modified)**

# EDF REQUIREMENTS

## ▪ Functionnal Specifications :

- Dosimeter **Sgi® not modified** intrinsically (added module)
- **Wireless and compact** (held in chest pocket of work suit)
- **Easy use and solid system**
- **Non disturbing** for operators
- **Efficient in any positions and situations** required in activities (with PPE, EPD not in field of vision,...)
- **Guarantee of alarm knowledge in any working situation** (noisy, « flashy », ...)
- Several individual remote modules which can be used at the same time (differents way of receiving the alarm)

## ▪ Technical Specifications :

- Compliance with the standard **CEI 61526** for **each element** of the system and accessories (alarm levels, physical characteristics, drop tests, mechanical resistance, EMC, ...)
- Performance of **Sgi® undisturbed** (especially by transmitter module added to it)
- **2 separate systems used close undisturbed**

# TESTS OF IMS® TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

## ▪ Factory tests:

- Vibration perception



- Modules pairing (by software simulation)



- Charge tests (protect modules from deep discharge)
- Detection tests (improve detection field from RFID antennas)
- Decreasing probability of both SGi® alarm's non detection (and by the way non reporting) and erroneous detection → hardware and software solution still studied for improvement

# TESTS OF IMS® TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

## ▪ NPP tests (still ongoing) :

- 5 NPP volunteers (representative sample of EDF's nuclear production)
- Material: 5 kits each composed of 8 modules and their wearing accessories (4 transmitters, 1 vokkero receiver, 1 headset receiver, 1 vibrator receiver, 1 luminous receiver) in a suitcase allowing charging elements and pairing



# FINAL GOAL

- **IMS® finalises the device : Q2 of 2014**
- **Finish the tests of the 5 kits : Q3 and Q4 of 2014**
- **Select the final solution for serial production, with approved modules : Q1 and Q2 of 2015**
- **Equip 19 NPP (+ 1 EPR) → 58 PWR (+ 1) reactors : Q3 and Q4 of 2015**
- **It remains to organise the solution :**
  - Communicate around it and its added value
  - Organise training on devices
  - Lead a Human Factor study → how to make it easier for operators ?
  - Lead a cost's impact analysis → how to handle the device ? which quantities of modules ?

# THANK YOU

# QUESTIONS

