

Three Mile Island Reactor Building Airborne Contamination Event Lessons Learned

Willie Harris Director, Radiation Protection Exelon Nuclear

November 2010 2010 ISOE International Symposium

- ✓ Initial Plant Conditions
- Description of the Event
- Cause of the Event
- ✓ Immediate Actions Taken
- ✓ Impact on Workers
- ✓ Lessons Learned



- ✓ Outage commenced on October 26, 2009
- ✓ Planned for 66 days
- ✓ Outage goal was 194 person-rem on an estimate of 211 person-rem
- ✓ Significant work included:
 - Replacement of Once Through Steam Generators
 - Alloy 600 Weld Mitigation
 - PZR Nozzles
 - Core Flood Nozzles
 - Refuel Floor Activities

✓ Forced Oxidation began on October 30, 2009



- ✓ Reactor was defueled, Reactor Coolant System drained
- Four craft workers from the Steam Generator Replacement Team were vacuuming in the "A" steam generator cold leg pipe cleaning prior to machining with support from project radiation protection staff
- Containment Construction Opening (26' x 23') and Equipment Hatch were open to support steam generator movement
- The "A" steam generator had been severed from reactor coolant system and was in process of being transported out of containment
- ✓ Ventilation line-up change in progress



OTSG and "D" Rings







Event Description

- ✓ At approximately 1550 on Saturday 11/21/09, a Local Air Monitoring System Alarms (AMS – 4)
 - First Alarm in area of "B" OTSG Cold leg Breach
 - Shortly thereafter, alarm received at construction opening
- ✓ 175 workers were in the Reactor Building at the time
 - All confirmed evacuated from the area by 1630
- Ventilation line-up assisted in distribution of fine particles inside the reactor building



Reactor Building Construction Opening



✓ The Root Cause of the event:

- Misapplication of a non filtered vacuum in an application that should have required one
- Site specific procedure which allowed use of a non filtered vacuum in certain applications

The Contributing Causes to the event:

- Oversight
- RP Tech Procedure Use/Adherence
- ALARA Pre-job Brief



A "D"-Ring - Vacuum in Background



Containment D-Ring Air Flow



Key Immediate Actions

- ✓ Stopped all work activities in the Reactor Building
 - All non-filtered wet vacuums were removed and disabled
 - All radiation work permits were reviewed to ensure that the proper radiological controls were included
 - Verified radiation protection controls to prevent spread of airborne contamination were being used as specified
- ✓ The RP Technician covering work was disqualified
- Augmented project staff with fleet resources to provide additional oversight of radiological significant work
- Controls were implemented on the purge supply and exhaust fans
- Developed and Implemented Fleet Procedure for Control of vacuums used in RCAs



Radiological Impact

✓ Impact to workers

- 17 Personnel had External contamination
 - All contaminations were at Lowest Risk Level by industry standards
 - All workers immediately decontaminated
 - No external skin dose assigned due to event
- 145 potential Internal Contaminations
 - Personnel involved received additional monitoring for internal contamination with onsite whole body contamination monitors
 - One worker received measureable internal dose (10.6 mrem)
 - All other workers were below dose reporting criteria
- ✓ Calculated Dose at Site Boundary: 0.06 mRem; 0.4% of annual limit
- Independent experts validated our findings for internal dose and offsite dose calculations



Communication Actions

- Plant Manager and Senior Management communications to workers at group meetings
- Personal letters to all 145 workers
- Multiple site-wide communications (news flash, SVP letter, TMI newsletter)

✓ Additional Actions

- Follow-up Whole Body Counts for all workers
- One-on-one interviews with workers
- Multiple independent technical reviews of personnel exposure data and basis for assigned dose



- Ensure that the site RP Manager reviews ALL internal and external communications involving radiological events
- Evaluate addition of talking points (Q&A) to the Personnel Contamination Event procedure
- Evaluate addition of procedure guidance to the Bioassay Procedure for release of WBC printout sheets to workers
- Evaluate timing of communications with INPO and NEI



- Develop a corporate RP triage procedure to supports actions to take when dealing with a large number of personnel contamination events
 - Address creature comforts
 - screening methods
 - Corporate and site notifications
 - Rapid callout of additional support
 - Tracking of workers
- Develop a backup method to quickly ascertain if workers are externally contaminated or have internal contamination.



- Validate assumptions used in engineering control procedures
- Develop criteria in the Personnel Contamination Event procedure to allow workers to be released from the RCA without passing a PCM or PM-7 in order to use the restroom.
- Evaluate replacement of Nal whole body counters.
- Validate that WBC libraries are appropriately established based on both on-line and shutdown.



- Evaluate outage staffing model for key projects
- Validate that RP technicians get appropriate ALARA briefings and review and understand procedures
- Review current risk evaluation procedures to ensure that appropriate tasks are identified and oversight is specified



Questions?

